

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/690,779	10/23/2003	Yohsuke Takeuchi	Q78106	1599
23373 75	590 04/27/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			CHU, JOHN S Y	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/690,779	TAKEUCHI ET AL.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication on	John S. Chu	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e. cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/690,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the remarkds filed February 13, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SCHELER et al in view of Journal article "Coupling of diazonium compounds with 2-methylbenzothiazoles", (Pierrot et al).

The claimed invention is drawn to the following;

Art Unit: 1752

 A recording material comprising: on a support, a recording layer including a diazo compound, a coupler compound that can react with the diazo compound to form a color, and a metal salt, wherein

the coupler compound is represented by the general formula (1):

General formula (1)

$$R^2$$
 CH_2
 R^3
 R^4
 R^6
 R^8
 R^8

wherein R¹, R², R³, and R⁴ each independently represent a hydrogen atom, an alkyl group, an aryl group, an alkoxy group, or an amino group; R⁵, R⁶, R⁷, R⁶, and R⁹ each independently represent a hydrogen atom, a halogen atom, an alkyl group, an aryl group, an alkoxy group, an aryloxy group, an alkylthio group, an arylthio group, an alkylsulfonyl group, an arylsulfonyl group, an alkoxycarbonyl group, an aryloxycarbonyl group, an acyloxy group, an acyl group, a carbamoyl group, an acylamino group, a sulfamoyl group, a sulfonamide group, a cyano group, or a

SCHELER et al discloses a diazotype light sensitive material wherein the components in include a diazonium salt and a coupler. Applicants are directed to column 3, line 25 – column 4, line 16 which discloses the following structure:

Application/Control Number: 10/690,779

Art Unit: 1752

Page 4

The light-absorbing compound preferably comprises 25 a benzothiazole, in particular a benzothiazole of the formula:

This compound disclose the use of benzothiazole compounds in as an additive component for diazotype image forming materials which serve to gives the material a flat gradation as well as no background staining.

SCHELER et al lacks the claimed compound of formula I in claim 1.

PIERROT et al discloses the use of a coupler for diazonium compounds defined as benzothiazole, 2-[(p-nitrophenylazo)methyl-] as seen in the Chemical Abstracts copy. This disclosure clearly suggests the use of the benzothiazole, 2-[(p-nitrophenylazo)methyl-] as a coupler in image forming materials with a diazonium compound.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of diazonium image forming materials to substitute the coupler of PIERROT et al as disclosed and suggested for the additive benzothiazole compound found in SCHELER et al with the reasonable expectation of same or similar results as disclosed in SCHELER et al for improved gradation and no background staining.

The arguments by applicant have been carefully considered, however the disclosure in PIERROT et al is actually for a coupler for diazonium compounds wherein in SCHELER et al the thermal recording material relies on the coupling reaction of a diazonium salt and a coupler.

Thus even though SCHELER et al discloses a benzothiazole compound as a an additive light-

Art Unit: 1752

absorbing compound the skilled artisan would be motivated to use the benzothiazole compound in the thermorecording material as a coupler as clearly suggested by a PIERROT et al.

The rejection is repeated and made Final.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on (571) 272-1526

The fax phone number for the USPTO is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/690,779

Art Unit: 1752

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John S. Chu

Primary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu April 24, 2006